TOPIC SESSION REPORT	Chair: []. Reporter: []	
Theme: 4. Management & Governance	Thematic coordination lead:	
	Organization: []	
Thematic coordination group:		
	Name contact person: []	
	e-mail: []	
Topic:	Topic coordination lead:	
4.3 Ethics, Transparency, and Empowerment of Stakeholders		
	Organization: []	
Topic Main Question: How do we define and develop a water ethics for a better	Name context nerven. Dr Michael Hantka Dames	
governance and management?	Name contact person: Dr Michael Hantke-Domas	
Topic coordination group:	e-mail: m.p.hantkedomas@dundee.ac.uk	
IFBPW Is ve Meslek Sahibi Kadinlar Dernegi		
WWF-Turkey		
IDRC Canada (Egypt)		
Istambul Water and Sewerage Administration		
Japan-MLIT		
French Water Coalition		
Assoc. of Mayors of French Metropoles		
CTI-Engineering, Japan		
UNESCO Centre for Water Law, Policy and Science		
Turkish Irrigation Cooperatives Central Union		
Wageningen University		
Key Topic Issues:	1	
1. Participation.		
2. Transparency, accountability, and access to justice. 3. Ethics		
3. Ethics.		
4.		
5. What should be the ethical principles of water governance?		

Sessions Ideas	Session Question(s)	Initial list of Stakeholders to be involved (to be completed after call for participation)	Stakeholder consultation process/meetings	Proposed Session Coordination and convening group
Title: How to engage stakeholders in the decision process of water management?	 Questions: 1. Who are the stakeholders? Consumers, users, citizens, international organisations, financial institutions, etc? 2. What is the role of democratic government for increasing public participation? 3. What does "participation of users" really mean at the different geographic levels of water resources and water services management? 4. How participation of stakeholders is secured within the regulatory process? 5. How the contribution of related sector such as agriculture, tourism, industry and flood management control are considered when adopting decisions? 6. Which models we have to use for the empowerment of stakeholders. 7. Participation comes at a cost. Are stakeholders aware of this cost? 8. gional sensitivity: high – medium – LOW 	 Parliamentarians Local governments Consumer associations Private sector User associations Women organisations NGOs for disadvantaged people, such us unemployed, disabled, young, low socio-economic level, indigenous Bi and multilateral donors: GTZ, JICA, DFID, etc. International finance institutions 		Organizations: FRENCH CO-ORDINATION FOR WATER UCLG (United Citizens and Local Governance)Worldwide Fund for Nature (WWF-Turkey) Name contact person: Nathalie Chartier-Touze (FCW) Edgardo Bilsky (UCLG) Ceren Ayas <u>e-mail</u> : [cayas@wwf.org.tr]

Sessions Idea	Session Question(s)	Stakeholders to be involved	Stakeholder consultation meetings	Proposed Session Coordination and convening group
<u>Title</u> :	Questions:	Parliamentarians	 Transparency international 	Organizations:
Title: Transparency, accountability, and access to justice.	 Questions: 1. Does transparency refers to information or is extensive to other areas of decision-making? 2. Are there standards for the quality of information provided to stakeholders? 3. Are regulatory accounts a good way to provide for benchmark between water providers? 4. How to make authorities accountable for their decisions in the water sector? 5. Costing and pricing water services: what priority measures to increase transparency? Should international standard(s) be designed to improve the situation? 	 Parliamentarians Local governments Consumer associations Private sector User associations Women organisationsNGOs for disadvantaged people, such us unemployed, disabled, young, low socio-economic level, indigenous Bi and multilateral donors: GTZ, JICA, DFID, etc. International finance institutions Thematic NGOs (e.g. Transparency International) 		
	 6. What are the advantages/disadvantages to international guidelines vs international standards? 7. What measures are to be taken to make national political decision process for general water management to be public? (e.g. national consultation, public conferences, referendum, availability of information). 8. How to make Independent Regulatory Authorities more responsive to democratic control? 9. Is naming and shaming a viable technique to promote accountability in the water 			moriyasu-k843m@mlit.go.jp

sector?			
large conc	on in the water sector a eern, so that special should be adopted to problem?	pt OK	
groups of remedies	olders or particular users need particular to challenge decisions y authorities or	Youth – Ind.	
12. Might clas remedy?	s action be a suitable		
Check Regi high – mediu	onal sensitivity : ım – low		

Sessions Ideas	Session Question(s)	Initial list of Stakeholders to be involved (to be completed after call for participation)	Stakeholder consultation process/meetings	Proposed Session Coordination and convening group
Session Idea Title What should be the ethical principles of water governance?	Question: 1. What ethical principles should guide governance and management in water at local, national, and international level? 2. Can we find, at global level, a new ethics of water use and management common to all cultures? 3. Is ethic part of good governance? 4. Are there any human values and ethics to be considered in governance and management? Check Regional sensitivity: high – medium - low	 Parliamentarians Local governments Consumer associations Private sector User associations Women organisations NGOs for disadvantaged people, such us unemployed, disabled, young, low socio-economic level, indigenous Bi and multilateral donors: GTZ, JICA, DFID, etc. International finance institutions 	• Indigenous groups	Organizations: [] Name contact person: e-mail: []

Suggestions and Comments